

### REMARKS

Claims 54-60, 62-84 and 86-94 are currently pending in the present application. Claims 52-69, 74-80 and 83-90 have been rejected, and claims 70-73, 81 and 82 have been objected to. Claims 54, 55, 60, 62-65, 69, 70, 74, 77, 79, 81-83, 86, 89 and 90 have been amended and claims 52, 53, 61 and 85 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter recited therein. New claims 91-94 have been added. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Claims 52, 53 and 74 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Moriarty in view of Pabon et al. Claims 52 and 53 have been canceled, rendering the rejection moot as to those claims.

Claim 74 depends from amended claim 70, which is now in independent form. Claim 70 recites a gamma detector that includes, among other features, a rigid dynamic enclosure and first and second flexible support sleeves. None of the cited references teach or suggest such a combination.

Claims 54-69, 75-80 and 83-90 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Moriarty in view of Pabon et al. and Frederick et al. Claim 70, as described above, is independent form, and claims 54-69 and 75-80 depend therefrom. Claims 83-90 now depend from claim 81, which has been amended to be in independent form.

As noted above, neither Moriarty or Pabon et al. teaches or suggests a rigid dynamic enclosure and first and second flexible support sleeves as recited in claim 70. Frederick et al. also fails to teach or suggest such a combination, and so claims 54-69 and 75-80 must be allowable over the cited references.

Application No.: 09/811,781

Docket No.: G3365.0119/P119


Claim 81 recites a gamma detector including, among other features, a housing comprising armor and first, second and third flexible support sleeves. None of the cited references teach or suggest such a combination, and so claims 85-90 must be patentable over the references.

Claims 70-73, 81 and 82 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 70 and 81 have been rewritten in independent form, as discussed above.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. According, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

Dated: August 5, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

By 

William E. Powell, III

Registration No.: 39,803

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicant